

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,500	05/26/2006	Anne Mette Buhl Hertz	55320.001041	7327	
21967 7590 HUNTON & WIL	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	PROPERTY DEPART	GUSSOV	GUSSOW, ANNE		
1900 K STREET, I SUITE 1200	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, I	OC 20006-1109	1643	1643		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	DELIVERY MODE			
31 DAY		04/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		A	oplication No.		Applicant(s)					
		10	0/535,500	9	HERTZ ET AL.					
		E	caminer		Art Unit					
		Ar	ne M. Gussow		1643					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
-										
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for	r allowance	except for formal mat	tters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the app	olication.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction	and/or elec	tion requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepte	ed or b) Objected to	by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drav	ving(s) be held in abeya	nce. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction i	is required if the drawing	g(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:										

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 7-15, and 37-41, drawn to a method for establishing a diagnosis of a subtype of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) by detecting a nucleotide sequence and an isolated nucleic acid.

Group II, claim(s) 2-6, drawn to a method for establishing a prognosis of B-CLL.

Group III, claim(s) 16 and 18-25, drawn to a method of treating B-CLL.

Group IV, claim(s) 17, drawn to a method of preparing a medicament comprising a polynucleotide sequence.

Group V, claim(s) 26-28, drawn to an isolated polypeptide.

Group VI, claim(s) 29, 30, and 42, drawn to a method for diagnosing a subtype of B-CLL using a polypeptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 31 and 33, drawn to a method of treating cancer using a polypeptide.

Group VIII, claim(s) 32, drawn to a method of preparing a medicament comprising a polypeptide.

Group IX, claim(s) 34, drawn to a method of immunization of a patient.

Group X, claim(s) 35, drawn to a method for producing an antibody.

Group XI, claim(s) 36, drawn to an antibody.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

A national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. When claims to different categories are present in the application, the claims will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1,475 (b) and (d). Group I will be the main invention. After that, all other products and methods will be broken out as separate groups (see 37 C.F.R. 1.475 (d)).

Group I is drawn to a nucleic acid and a method of using the nucleic acid. All of the other groups are drawn to additional products and methods that do not comprise combinations of Group I. Therefore restriction is proper.

Art Unit: 1643

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Page 4

If Group I is elected, applicant is required to select between the following different nucleotide sequences:

Species A: SEQ ID No. 12

Species B: SEQ ID No. 13

Species C: SEQ ID No. 14

Species D: SEQ ID No. 15

Species E: SEQ ID No. 16

Species F: SEQ ID No. 17

Species G: SEQ ID No. 18

Species H: SEQ ID No. 2

Species I: SEQ ID No. 3

Species J: SEQ ID No. 4

Species K: SEQ ID No. 5

Species L: SEQ ID No. 6

Species M: SEQ ID No. 7

Species N: SEQ ID No. 8

Species O: SEQ ID No. 9

Species P: SEQ ID No. 10

Species Q: SEQ ID No. 11

Art Unit: 1643

Page 5

If Group II or IV is elected, applicant is required to select between the following different nucleotide sequences:

Species BA: SEQ ID No. 12

Species BB: SEQ ID No. 13

Species BC: SEQ ID No. 14

Species BD: SEQ ID No. 15

Species BE: SEQ ID No. 16

Species BF: SEQ ID No. 17

Species BG: SEQ ID No. 18

If Group III is elected, applicant is required to select between the following different nucleotide sequences:

Species CA: SEQ ID No. 12

Species CB: SEQ ID No. 13

Species CC: SEQ ID No. 14

Species CD: SEQ ID No. 15

Species CE: SEQ ID No. 16

Species CF: SEQ ID No. 17

Species CG: SEQ ID No. 18

Species CH: SEQ ID No. 2

Species CI: SEQ ID No. 4

Art Unit: 1643

Species CJ: SEQ ID No. 6

Species CK: SEQ ID No. 7

Species CL: SEQ ID No. 8

Species CM: SEQ ID No. 9

Species CN: SEQ ID No. 10

Species CO: SEQ ID No. 11

If Group IX is elected, applicant is required to select between the following different polynucleotide sequences:

Species DA: SEQ ID No. 2

Species DB: SEQ ID No. 4

Species DC: SEQ ID No. 6

Species DD: SEQ ID No. 7

Species DE: SEQ ID No. 8

Species DF: SEQ ID No. 9

Species DG: SEQ ID No. 10

Species DH: SEQ ID No. 11

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 26-33, 35-37, and 42.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 7

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the claims are drawn to different polynucleotide and different polypeptide molecules, each having different structures and different functions.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1643

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

  All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Art Unit: 1643

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01

Page 9

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne M. Gussow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1643

Page 10

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne M. Gussow, Ph.D.

April 16, 2007

LÁRRY R. HELMS, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER